

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/478,604	01/06/2000	SATOSHI IDE	FUJA-16.923	1899	
7590 11/12/2003 Katten, Muchin, Zavis & rosenman			EXAMINER		
			BELLO, AGUSTIN		
575 Madison A New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2633	12	
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2001	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •	A time time No	Applicant(s)					
-	Application No.						
🐉 🤻 Advisory Action	09/478,604	IDE ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Agustin Bello	2633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	is				
THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (2) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the property fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration of the property of the period of the perio	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for expire later than SIX MONTHS from the main Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF the date on which the petition under 37 deriod of extension and the corresponding and the shortened statutory period for records.	ling date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. Se CFR 1.136(a) and the appropri mount of the fee. The appropri	ee MPEP iate extension riate extension ice action: or				
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. Set	ee 37 CFR 1.704(b).		n, even if				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which were no	ewly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clair	ment(s) a) will not be entered or ment would be rejected is provided be	b)∏ will be entered and low or appended.	l an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as foll	ows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Now.							
Claim(s) objected to: Nov.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-39.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	l .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	~	proved by the Examiner	r				
			•				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:							
		•					





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations raise new issues that require further search and consideration. Furthermore, the new additional 38 claims require further search...

JASON CHAN EXAMINER
JASON CHAN EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
ZECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600